

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,788	10/30/2003		Shufeng Han	16569-US	8112
30689	7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
DEERE &		- · -	HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
ONE JOHN DEERE PLACE MOLINE, IL 61265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3663	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/696,788	HAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Deandra M. Hughes	3663						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	lune 2006.							
<u> </u>	,							
closed in accordance with the practice under								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.	· _ · · · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · 							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	_							
Application Papers								
•								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Tr) The oath of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date						

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller (US 6,463,374 published Oct. 18, 2002) in view of Staub (US 6,236,916 published May 22, 2001).

With regard to claim 21, Keller discloses a method of guiding a vehicle, the method comprising:

- establishing elevation data and corresponding location data for a work area (fig. 7, ELEVATION; col. 30, lines 33-42; col. 10, line 35);
- determining location data, including a particular location of a vehicle, within the work area (col. 9, lines 49-50);
- estimating at least one of roll data and pitch data corresponding to the particular location (col. 10, lines 44-45; note: 3 elevation parameters);
- guiding the vehicle based upon at least one of the estimated roll data, the pitch data, and an aspect such that the vehicle follows a target path (the entire patent of Keller is directed towards this end; further, see col. 3, lines 5-16 and col. 10, lines 44-45).

Keller does not specifically disclose that the vehicle is guided based upon an aspect which represents a direction of maximum slope corresponding to a particular location. However, Staub teaches guiding a vehicle according to a maximum slope

Application/Control Number: 10/696,788

Art Unit: 3663

corresponding to a particular location (<u>fig. 4 and col. 4</u>, <u>lines 45-60</u>). A maximum slope is inherently defined in terms of its maximum lateral and maximum longitudinal slopes, i.e. the well-known 'rise over run' definition of slope in the linear equation y=mx+b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill (e.g., an optical engineer) in the art at the time the invention was made to guide the vehicle according to an aspect that represents the direction of maximum slope corresponding to a particular location for the advantage of reducing roll of the agricultural vehicle, as is specifically taught by Staub (<u>col. 4</u>, <u>line 50-56</u>).

With regard to claims 22, 24, and 27-30, Keller discloses the roll data comprises a roll angle and wherein the pitch data comprises a pitch angle (slope is an angle; col. 10, lines 44-45).

With regard to claim 23, figure 3 discloses a group of cells.

With regard to claim 25, the Examiner considers each path segment disclosed in figure 3 to be substantially linear.

With regard to claim 26, <u>col. 6</u>, <u>lines 47-55</u> disclose steering compensation of the target path via steering compensation data.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 31-40 are merely a resubmission of previously withdrawn claims 11-20 drawn to non-elected Invention II. Consquently, claims 31-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention II.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,788

Art Unit: 3663

Response to Arguments

Page 4

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deandra M. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6982. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ďéandra M′Húghes Primary Examiner Art Unit 3663